

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/528,780	03/17/2000	Joerg Plamper	HBC-221-KFM	5327
75	90 12/26/2001			
Karl F Milde Jr. Milde Hoffberg & Macklin LLP Suite 460			EXAMINER	
			RODRIGUEZ,	ARMANDO
10 Bank Street White Plains, N	Y 10606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 12/26/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/528,780 PLAMPER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Armando Rodriguez	2877
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. , a reply within the statutory minimum of third beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  VTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	١ <u></u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up	•	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 3,6-10 and 12 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b) d	lisapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docur		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		· ·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) The translation of the foreign languag	•	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Attachment(s)

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. No method steps recited.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: no method steps are recited to obtain the improvement for stabilizing the optical output power of the light emitting diodes and laser diodes.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74

Art Unit: 2877

(Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

In the present instance, claims 1-12 recites the broad recitation "diodes", and the claim also recites "light emitting diodes or laser diodes" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1,2,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Asano et al (PN 6,044,095).

Asano et al discloses in the abstract a laser system having a laser diode (11), a voltage (Vcc) and a current (18). The laser system controls the current of the laser diode by detecting the voltage of the laser diode. The laser system makes adjustments

Art Unit: 2877

to the current according to variations of the laser diode voltage caused by temperature changes, while maintaining a constant output light.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3,6-10 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 3,8-10.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior arts alone or in combination discloses the method step of dependent claim 3 along with the limitations of the base claim 1 and intervening claim 2 and in particular where the forward voltage is measured via an analog/digital interface using a suitable data processing device.

Regarding claims 6 and 7.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior arts alone or in combination discloses the method step of dependent claim 6 and 7 along with the limitations of the base claim 1 and intervening claim 4 and in particular where the correlation of the linear function of the forward voltage is established with a resistor connected in series or a circuit with a negative resistance and both having a constant voltage source.

Regarding claim 12.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior arts alone or in combination discloses the method step of

Art Unit: 2877

dependent claim 12 along with the limitations of the base claim 1 and intervening claim

Page 5

5 and in particular where the light power remains constant in spite of the increasing

temperature after power-up.

Claim 11 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the

prior arts alone or in combination discloses the method step of independent claim 11

where the forward voltage of the light emitting diode or laser diodes is determined as a

function of the light emitting diodes or laser diodes current at a constant light power.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later

than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Armando

Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-6218. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-hour day / M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be

reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Armando Rodriguez Examiner

Art-Unit 2877

AR/FGF

December 10, 2001

Frank G Font Supervisor Art Unit 2877